

- B3
26. The method of claim 25, further comprising the step of:
- (a) reproducing the video images,
 - (i) at [greater than 20 frames per second] TV quality.

- B4
32. The method of claim 29, further comprising the step of:
- (a) reproducing the video images
 - (i) at [greater than 20 frames per second] TV quality.

- B5
44. The system of claim 43, wherein the system is configured to
- (a) reproduce the video images,
 - (i) at [greater than 20 frames per second] TV quality.

REMARKS

In the Office Action referenced above, the Examiner stated the following:

"Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims of copending Application No.09/072,549. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Claim 1 of Application 09/072,549
a video communication system
comprising:
a) at least one analog video-signal source;
b) at least one video display device;
c) at least one control wires defining a UTP communication path, arranged for video-signal transportation.

Claim 1 of present application
A video conferencing system
comprising:
a) at least one video signal source;
b) at least one video display device;
c) at least one unshielded twisted pair of wires ...